

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

|     | _ |
|-----|---|
| - 1 | ١ |
|     | ٠ |
| ,   | 1 |

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/343,183  | 06/30/1999    | MASAMI KATO             | 862.2914            | 7586             |
| 5514 75   | 90 09/15/2005 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO<br>30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA<br>NEW YORK, NY 10112 |               | NGUYEN, QUANG N         |                     |                  |
|   |               | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |               |                         | 2141                |                  |
|   |               | DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | 09/343,183   | KATO, MASAMI   |  |  |
| Onice Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and  | Quang N. Nguyen  | 2141   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  | 1.0005   | ,  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J   |  |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-30,40 and 46</u> is/are pending in the   | application.   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-30,40 and 46</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | •  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/are: a)   | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t   | he Examiner.   |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  | · ·  | ved by the Examiner.   |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal F  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office   |  | <del></del>  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2141

## **Detail Action**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/14/2005 has been entered.

Claims 19, 40 and 46 have been amended. Claims 19-30, 40 and 46 remain for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19-24, 26, 40, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (US 5,991,276), in view of Sekiguchi et al. (US 5,848,134) and in further in view of Brunson (US 5,673,205).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

4. As to claims 19 and 22, **Yamamoto** teaches a multipoint videoconference system (*in real-time*) including a videoconference server, comprising:

a receiving device adapted to receive image data and voice data from a first terminal which communicates image data and voice data to be distributed to a second terminal which communicates via at least text data or voice data (from the user terminals involved, the video conference servers 9a and 9b receive video and audio signals as well as other signals carrying various materials prepared for the videoconference) (Yamamoto, C4: L32-41);

a data distributing device adapted to distribute the generated text data and generated image file to the second terminal or to distribute the image data and the voice data by a predetermined protocol for video conference to the second terminal, by controlling a distribution method for distributing data (i.e., the videoconference servers 9a and 9b receive video and audio signals as well as other signals carrying various materials prepared for the videoconference, then apply a predetermined editing processes to the received signal contents and distribute the resultant signals to the various user terminals involved in the conference via the ATM-SW 8) (Yamamoto, ATM Switching System 8 of Figs. 2-3 and C4: L32-47).

However, Yamamoto does not explicitly teach a control device adapted to control a way of distributing data corresponding to a kind of the second terminal; a voice recognition device adapted to recognize the voice data and to generate text data based upon the recognized voice data and an image file generating device adapted to generate an image file on the basis of the received image data.

Art Unit: 2141

In a related art, **Sekiguchi** teaches a method and system which allow a real-time message exchange between terminals of different media types by <u>converting</u> <u>messages from one terminal into messages in data formats respectively compatible with other terminals</u> (such as converting from voice to text and vice versa), based on the terminal information via the control module 14 that recognizes or determines the type of media of terminal; and transmitting the converted messages to the corresponding terminals (Sekiguchi, C2: L24-35, C6:L54 – C7:L5 and C13: L10-23).

In another related art, **Brunson** teaches multimedia messaging system allows message recipients who lack full-motion video message-retrieval capability to retrieve at least some image content of video messages via video snapshots-image frames retrieved as still images by converting moving-image format (*first image data*) to bit-map image format (*second image data*) and transferring the bit-map image data to the user's terminal (Brunson, Abstract, C5: L17-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yamamoto, Sekiguchi and Brunson to include a control device adapted to control a way of distributing data corresponding to a kind of the second terminal (by Sekiguchi); a voice recognition device adapted to recognize the voice data and to generate text data based upon the recognized voice data (by Sekiguchi) and an image file generating device adapted to generate an image file on the basis of the received image data (by Brunson) since such methods were conventionally employed in the art to allow the system to provide a visual display of speech (voice data presented as text data) for participants of a conference

that can communicate via text data but not voice data and also to provide still picture data for participants with limited resources (software/hardware or limited transmission capacity) to receive and play the video data, wherein text data packets representing speech and still picture data (instead of movie picture data) are streaming at a lower data rate and the transmission of the text data packets and the still picture data may be performed at a lower bandwidth therefore faster than the transmission of voice data packets and the video data over a network.

- 5. As to claim 20, **Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson** teaches the apparatus of claim 19, wherein said data distributing device distributes the text data in real-time (i.e., *Yamamoto teaches a multipoint videoconference system in real-time*).
- 6. As to claims 21 and 23-24, Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson teaches the apparatus of claim 19, wherein said data distributing device further distributes the text data, which has been entered from the second terminal, to the first terminal; and wherein the first and second terminals have a data conferencing function based upon text-chat data (Yamamoto, C6: L49-51 and C8: L25-63).
- 7. As to claim 26, Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson teaches the system as in claim 19, wherein the second terminal is connected via the Internet Protocol (each video conference terminal transmits video, audio, and material data signals over an ATM network, i.e., via Internet Protocol) (Yamamoto, Abstract and Sekiguchi, C2: L56-63).

Art Unit: 2141

- 8. Claims 40 and 46 are corresponding control method and recording medium claims of claim 19; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 9. Claims 25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson, in view of Berry et al. (US 6,404,747), herein after referred as Berry.
- 10. As to claim 25, **Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson** teaches the system as in claim 22, but does not explicitly teach the text-chat data is in compliance with ITU-T Recommendation T.120.

In a related art, **Berry** teaches a Video Multimedia Call Center (VMMCC) with multipoint access through a PBX (private branch exchange) within an ACD (automatic call distribution) environment has both audio and video capabilities wherein the T.120-series of recommendations to provide a means for telecommunicating all forms of data/telematic media between 2 or more endpoints (Berry, C5: L46-67 and C6: L1-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson** and **Berry** wherein the text-chat data is in compliance with ITU-T Recommendation T.120 since such methods/techniques were well-known and conventionally employed in the field of multimedia communications.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 7

11. As to claims 27-28, Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson-Berry teaches the system

as in claim 26, wherein a web page (HTML-format hypertext data) is generated for the

second terminal, including the image data (Yamamoto, 5 participants, Mr. A to Mr. E)

(Berry, C12: L3-8 and Yamamoto, C6: L42-49).

12. As to claims 29-30, Yamamoto-Sekiguchi-Brunson-Berry teaches the system

as in claim 19, wherein the dedicated terminals are dedicated videoconferencing

terminals in compliance with any of ITU-T Recommendations H.320, H.323 and H.324;

and wherein the data communication control apparatus is in compliance with ITU-T

Recommendations H.231 and H.243 (Berry, C6: L5-52).

## Response to Arguments

- 13. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that
- (A) Prior Arts do not disclose or suggest anything with regard to controlling distribution of data based on a type of second terminal by controlling a distribution method for distributing the data.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 8

As to point (A), Yamamoto teaches from the user terminals involved, the videoconference servers 9a and 9b receive video and audio signals as well as other signals carrying various materials prepared for the videoconference, then <u>apply a predetermined editing process to the received signal contents and distribute the resultant signals to the various user terminals involved in the conference via the ATM-SW 8) (Yamamoto, ATM Switching System 8 of Figs. 2-3 and C4: L32-47).</u>

Also, in a related art, **Sekiguchi** teaches a method and system which allow a real-time message exchange between terminals of different media types by <u>converting</u> <u>messages from one terminal into messages in data formats respectively compatible with other terminals, based on the terminal information via the control <u>module 14 that recognizes or determines the type of media of terminal</u> (such as converting from voice to text and vice versa, or converting video data to still images based on a type of second terminal), and transmitting the converted messages to the corresponding terminals (Sekiguchi, C2: L24-35, C6:L54 – C7:L5 and C13: L10-23).</u>

Hence, Prior Arts do disclose or suggest controlling distribution of data based on a type of second terminal by controlling a distribution method for distributing the data.

14. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 07/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

15. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3) months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY - ENT EXAMINE